

- a Review of Recent Research

Mikołaj Bartoszkiewicz¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8728-5998

¹ Department of Immunobiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences

Address for correspondence

Mikołaj Bartoszkiewicz Department of Immunobiology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences 7 Rokietnicka St., 60-806 Poznan, Poland e-mail: m.bartoszkiewicz@ump.edu.pl

Abstract

Human immunodeficiency virus, HIV, causes a progressive decline in humans' immune system, leading to development of AIDS. According to the World Health Organization, approximately 36.7 million people are living with HIV in the world, and more than 1 million people died in 2019. Vaccination is one effective method of stopping HIV from spreading around the world. So far, no effective vaccine against HIV has been found. Research teams are conducting 40 trials worldwide to find a vaccine against HIV. The nature of the virus, which is primarily characterized by an extremely high genetic variability, is a significant obstacle to vaccine development. The RV144 clinical trial has become a precursor to the use, and further development of biology system approaches for the correlation of infection risk. Analyzing the virus, which involved studying HIV genetic sequences, breakthrough infections, vaccine components, and observed functional immune relationships, helped scientists develop associations and differences that might be indicative of protection against the virus. The RV144 and RV217 clinical trials, which hypothesized that a vaccine could induce host immunity, are still speculation. Vaccines are adapted to current approaches to ensure that study participant are exposed to HIV antigens in a variety of ways to elicit mixed immune responses i.e., humoral, cellular, and innate arms of the host immune system using heterologous vaccines to induce non-specific immunity. HIV vaccine clinical trials are significant to humanity. Epidemiological data make us aware of the great problem we are dealing with. The medical experiment RV144 shows that it is possible to obtain a vaccine. We must hope that the nearest future in HIV prevention is an effective and safe vaccine.

Key words: HIV vaccine, RV 144

Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus, HIV – so far, two types of this virus have been known: HIV-1 and HIV-2. HIV causes a progressive decline in humans' immune system, leading to the development of AIDS. According to the World Health Organization, approximately 36.7 million people are living with HIV in the world, and more than 1 million people died in 2019. The most significant number of people living with active infection are in Sub-Saharan Africa, about 25.7 million, in Western and Central Europe, about 2.5 million [1]. According to the National Institute of Hygiene, in Poland, from the implementation of the research from 1985 to December 31, 2019, HIV infection was found in 25,544 people, 3,768 AIDS cases were reported; 1.429 sick people died [2]. From the beginning of 2017, there were nearly 10.000 patients under antiretroviral treatment in Poland [3]. People access to medical services and antiretroviral therapies vary considerably across the world. Third world countries cannot afford the cost of antiretroviral treatments for most patients. The U.S. President's Global AIDS Relief Program (PEPFAR) and heroic efforts to curb the HIV pandemic are still insufficient to equalize the opportunities for treatment [4]. Economic barriers, totalitarian political systems, and an uneducated society keep HIV a death sentence in some parts of the world rather than a chronic disease that can be controlled through antiretroviral therapy.

Vaccination is one effective method of stopping HIV from spreading around the world. So far, no effective vaccine against HIV has been found. Research teams are conducting 40 trials worldwide to find a vaccine against HIV. A review of the literature and research will bring closer the current state of knowledge on the invention of the HIV vaccine.

The essence of the problem with the invention of a vaccine against HIV

Research teams around the world are trying to get an effective HIV vaccine; this discovery would become one of the most important events of

the 21st century. Therefore the financial outlays and social expectations are huge. The central aspect is that humans do not develop natural protective immunity to HIV infection. Except for rabies, vaccines only exist for diseases for which there is specific immunity. There are people in the world who remain infected with HIV but do not develop clinical disease symptoms for a long time, and this is a tiny group of people called "elite controllers". Unfortunately, the overwhelming majority, as many as 99% of people, are not able to control the infection themselves, in the absence of treatment [5]. Elite controllers, however, still produce the virus and suffer the consequences of inflammation. Another problem in the invention of a vaccine is the absence of a specific response. It remains the immune, cellular, and antibody functions, which are poorly understood. Since the host immune response to HIV is incapable of completely eradicating the virus, developing a vaccine to elicit an effective immune response presents a particular challenge since a natural infection does not provide any blueprint for vaccine design.

The nature of the virus is a significant obstacle to vaccine development. It is primarily characterized by an extremely high genetic variability. Modeling studies demonstrate that the virus is sufficiently variable to obstruct the typical host development of broadly neutralizing antibody responses that require extensive somatic mutation in the immunoglobulin gene locus and deviation in immune tolerance mechanisms [6]. The virus is so flexible that, thanks to its ability to evade Tc cell responses, many forms of the virus can survive, not necessarily in a single variant.

Scientists cite HIV latencies as another significant problem in vaccine development. The human immunodeficiency virus genetically integrates into the host's chromosomes. Recent studies show that HIV latency may be related to how at least some variants of the virus are made. The infected cell is most often re-infected with multiple options of the virus, allowing genetically recombinant forms to emerge in reactivated mutant infections [7]. Host cells containing the reactivated ones are relatively rare in the presence of an antigen. They are, therefore, relatively insensitive to antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and other forms

of immune surveillance. Attempts to develop an effective HIV vaccine on a global scale have evolved. To date, only six clinical trials have been conducted with the efficacy of the vaccine. Scientists focused on monomeric HIV envelope proteins, combined with canarypox viral vectors and adeno-induced HIV genes, either alone or in combination with HIV DNA [8]. Due to well-founded safety concerns, no methods based on viral attenuation or virion inactivation were included in clinical trials. Historically, the technique that has been effective and best known for vaccine development for many years has been eliminated.

A review of the most promising studies

The clinical study "RV144", which was conducted in Thailand on more than sixteen thousand men and women randomly assigned to receive placebo or a combination of canarypox vector vaccine (ALVAC-HIV vCP1521) and recombinant HIV glycoprotein 120 (gp120) product of B and E subtypes (AIDSVAX B / E) in 2003-2009, has demonstrated potential protection against HIV. So far, this is the only study that has shown the effectiveness of the vaccine. By excluding people infected with HIV at the beginning of the clinical trial, the vaccine proved to be effective at the level of 31.2% at three years after the primary injection. From a global point of view, this is not satisfactory effectiveness. However, scientists already have a starting point, and further work is more than likely. The protective effect six months after the primary vaccination series was 60.5%; unfortunately, this effect quickly diminished [9]. Vaccinations affected viral load following infection or CD4 + T cell counts in people with breakthrough HIV infection. Immunological markers related to the protective effect of the vaccine have been identified. The extensive collaboration of international laboratories has shown in HIV-infected and tested individuals the strongest association providing protection for IgG antibodies against the variable regions of the HIV 1 and 2 envelope (V1V2) - IgG3 in particular – and low serum IgA antibodies [10]. This allows us to look to the future with optimism. Phase II of the clinical trial "RV144"

arouses widespread emotions among the public due to the expectation of even better vaccine effectiveness [11]. Phase II focuses primarily on the efficiency in the various conditions of the existence of the virus and its subtypes.

The "RV144" clinical trial has become a precursor to the use, and further development of biology systems approaches for the correlation of infection risk. By analyzing the virus, which involved studying HIV genetic sequences, breakthrough infections, vaccine components, and observed functional immune relationships, it helped scientists develop associations and differences that might be indicative of protection against the virus [12]. Further exploratory studies around the world following the presentation of the analysis of the clinical experiment "RV144" reflect the multilateral investigative approaches, and the use of potential vaccine "products" for further clinical trials in other subtypes, such as subtype C in South Africa [13].

Another approach by scientists to fight HIV is to try without a vaccine. The story of how the immune system can partially or more fully control HIV is complex and, in a sense, has been told backward, starting with artificially induced immunity from vaccine research, rather than on a real understanding of HIV infection as/It has been established. Decades of experience with HIV patients passed before the acute course of the infection was properly understood. The observational study "RV217" is a prospective analysis of patients at high risk of infection in East Africa and Thailand. 2.300 patients were recruited for the study. The acute phase of the disease was noted in 5% of respondents. A maximum viral load was achieved after approximately 30 days, and a positive "EIA" test was achieved after 14 days. Up to the time of peak viral load, N.K. cells responded variable; The B cells initially dropped and then returned to normal. CD8 + T cells, CD4 + T cells decreased and remained inversely proportional to the viral load [14, 15]. This information is beneficial for developing an HIV vaccine strategy in several ways. Like many other viral syndromes, the human immunodeficiency virus fills most of its distribution in host tissues during the first two weeks. The diverse effect on the population of B and T cells is still unclear about the importance of innate immunity. HIV causes less symptomatic acute disease (and therefore less inflammation throughout the body) than previously thought and stabilizes fairly quickly. Consequently, a neutralizing antibody available during log virus expansion would be a useful, but potentially insufficient, control mechanism. Scientists believe that key here will be primarily antibody-mediated non-neutralizing functions – and possibly innate effector functions.

The "RV144" and "RV217" clinical trials, which hypothesized that a vaccine could induce host immunity, are still speculation. Vaccines are adapted to current approaches to ensure that study participant are exposed to HIV antigens in a variety of ways to elicit mixed immune responses i.e., humoral, cellular, and innate arms of the host immune system using heterologous vaccines to induce non-specific immunity. Researchers' current efforts are focused on designing immunogens that would elicit a sustained and broad neutralizing antibody response against HIV. The number of monoclonal antibodies capable of extensively neutralizing anti-HIV activity is increasing exponentially thanks to the currently dominant molecular techniques for their recovery from HIV infected patients. Monoclonal antibodies, i.e., PGT121, VRC01, and VRC03, have been observed in cellular assays to inhibit CD4 + T cell insertion by latent viruses from chronically infected individuals. PGT121 and VRC01 antibodies were used in preclinical studies in acute phase infected king macaques with SIVs virus to reduce both viral load and viral DNA associated with cells [16]. It is not possible to cause such a reaction through active vaccination in humans at this point. Still, their use for HIV prevention and control by passive immunization is currently being tested in several clinical trials, which would provide evidence why they would likely work in active immunization. Researchers continue to search based on their observations of virus neutralization for the real antibody and antigen.

vaccine
\leq
Т
a
2
5
-=
çh
Ē
ä
Š
e
- 7 .
200
E.
0
60
0

4~[11] ÷ . iceltriele UNV. - Hold

2	idie. 1 Ulinical trials F	11V Vaccine, own stuay [14]			
Country	Substance	Title of study	Type of Study	Phase	NCT number
NS	N/A	A T Cell-based HIV Vaccine	Observational	N/A	NCT02389595
Thailand	AIDSVAX B/E	Study of Immune Responses Induced by an HIV Vaccine	Interventional double blind	2	NCT01933685
South Africa	ALVAC-HIV (vCP2438) and Bivalent Subtype C gp120/MF59	Pivotal Phase 2b/3 ALVAC/Bivalent gp120/ MF59 HIV Vaccine Prevention Safety and Efficacy Study in South Africa	Interventional	2b/3	NCT02968849
US	(A, B, C, A/E)/gag (C) DNA Vacci- ne, gp120 (A, B, C, A/E) Protein Vaccine, GLA-SE adjuvant	Evaluating the Safety and Immunogenicity of Env (A, B, C, A/E) (Gag (C) DNA and gp120 (A, B, C, A/E) Protein/GLA-SE HIV Vaccines, Given Individually or Co-admi- nistered, in Healthy, HIV-1-Uninfected Adults	Interventional double blind	N/A	NCT03409276
SU	a1DC + inactiva- ted whole auto- logous HIV, a1DC + conserved HIV peptides, a1DC + no antigen, pgDC + inactivated who- le autologous HIV, pgDC + conserved HIV peptides, pgDC + no antigen	Comparison of Dendritic Cell-Based Thera- peutic Vaccine Strategies for HIV Functio- nal Cure (DC-HIV04)	Interventional double blind		NCT03758625
Germany	N/A	HIV and STIs Clinical Study in Germany	Observational		NCT03884816
					/

Thailand	ALVAC-HIV, AIDSVAX B/E, ALVAC-HIV Place- bo, AIDSVAX B/E Placebo	Study of Late Boost Strategies for HIV- -Uninfected Participants From Protocol RV 144	Interventional double blind	2	NCT01435135
SU	PENNVAX-GP, INO-6145, INO- 9012, CELLEC- TRA® 2000	Therapeutic Vaccination in Treated HIV Di- sease	Interventional	1/2	NCT03606213
France, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Spain	N/A	Prospective Observational Cohort HIV & STI Study in Europe	Observational	N/A	NCT03866759
N	Ad4-Env145NFL, Ad4-Env150KN, VRC-HIVRGP096- -00-VP (Trimer 4571) with alum	Safety and Immunogenicity of Ad4- -HIV Envelope Vaccine Vectors in Healthy Volunteers	Interventional	1	NCT03878121
SU	p4CE1/2 pDNA Vaccine, p55^gag pDNA Vaccine, IL- 12 pDNA Adjuvant	Evaluating the Safety and Immunogenicity of pDNA Vaccines Expressing HIV M Gro- up p24^Gag Conserved Elements and/or p55^Gag, Administered With IL-12 pDNA by Intramuscular Electroporation, in Heal- thy, HIV-Uninfected Adults (HVTN 119)	Interventional	1	NCT03181789
Brazil	Yellow Fever vacci- nation (17 DD Biomanguinhos)	Immunogenicity and Safety of the Yellow Fever Vaccine in HIV-Infected Individuals (YF-HIV)	Interventional	₽	NCT03132311
Taiwan	Vaqta Injectable Product	Effectiveness of Booster With 1 or 2 Doses of HAV Vaccine Among HIV-Infected Patients	Interventional	4	NCT03855176
Taiwan	Engerix-B	Hepatitis B Virus Vaccination in HIV-Posi- tive Patients and Individuals at High Risk for HIV Infection	Interventional	4	NCT03854630

NCT03560258	NCT03626467	NCT03391921	NCT03961438	NCT03729778	NCT03547245	
1/2	4	4	1	A	V	
Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	
HIV-1-Gag Conserved-Element DNA Vac- cine as Therapeutic Vaccination in HIV-In- fected Persons With Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy	A Clinical Trial to Evaluate the Immunoge- nicity of the Nonavalent Vaccine Against Human Papillomavirus in Men Infected by HIV Who Have Sex With Men. GESIDA 10017 (GESIDA10017)	Vaccination Against Human Papillomavirus (HPV) With the 9-Valent Vaccine in HIV- -Positive Women (the Papillon Study) (Papillon)	Amsterdam UMC Clinical Trial With a Native-like HIV-1 Envelope Vaccine (AC- THIVE-001)	Impact of HIV-1 and Aging on Mucosal Vac- cine Responses	A Phase I Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Immunogenicity of eOD-GT8 60mer Vacci- ne, Adjuvanted	
p24CE1/2 pDNA vaccine, p24CE1/2 pDNA vaccine admixed with full- length p55^aag pDNA vaccine, Full-length p55^aga pDNA vaccine	лелан	HPV vaccine genotype	ConM SOSIP./7 gp140, adjuvan- ted with MPLA liposomes	Prevnar-13	eOD-GT8 60mer + AS01B/ DPBS sucrose/IM, DPBS Sucrose	
Sn	Spain	Belgium	Netherlands	SU	SU	

NCT04385875	NCT03618056	NCT03060629	NCT04270773	NCT03853681	NCT00996970
۲	1	2	4	NA	N/A
Interventional double blind	Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	Interventional	Observational
Study to Assess the Safety and Durability of Viral Control Beyond 24 Weeks of Ana- lytical Treatment Interruption After the Administration of Candidate HIV-1 Vacci- nes DNA.HTI, MVA.HTI and ChAdOx1.HTI or Placebo in Early Treated HIV-1 Positive Individuals (ATI Extension of AELIX-002 Study)	Evaluating HIV-1 Neutralization Antibody Breadth in Response to HIV gp120 Prote- in Vaccine in HIV-Uninfected Adults With Quiescent Systemic Lupus Erythematosus	A Study to Assess the Efficacy of a Hete- rologous Prime/Boost Vaccine Regimen of Ad26.Mos4.HIV and Aluminum Phospha- te-Adjuvanted Clade C gp140 in Preven- ting Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) -1 Infection in Women in Sub-Saharan Africa	Immunogenicity and Safety of a 9-Valent Human Papillomavirus Vaccine in HIV-po- sitive Women (9-VPH-MVIH)	Study on the Response to Tetanus Vacci- nation of People Living With HIV (VACTE- VIH)	Immunogenicity of Novel H1N1 Vacci- nation Among HIV-Infected Compared to HIV-Uninfected Persons
Vaccine + exten- sion of the ATI period, Placebo + extension of the ATI period	AIDSVAX@B/E	Ad26.Mos4.HIV, Clade C gp140	Human Papillo- mavirus 9-valent Vaccine, Recom- binant	Additional blood sampling	N/A
Sparin	US	Malawi, Mozambi- que, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbawe	Spain	France	US

	oservational N/A NC10440268	erventional 2 NCT0274112	erventional 3 NCT0328486	erventional 2 NCT0436403 ouble blind	erventional 1 NCT0142859	Lerventional 1 NCT0320461	servational N/A NCT0338321	erventional 1/2 NCT0435782
	Influenza vaccination in Patients Living With HIV in the Northern Region (VACCI- GRIPPE)	Safety and Immunogenicity of a Tetrava- Ient Dengue Vaccine in HIV-Positive Adults	HPV Vaccine Therapy in Reducing High- -Grade Cervical Lesions in Patients With HIV and HPV (COVENANT)	Safety, Tolerability and Immunogenicity In of MVA.HTI and ChAdOx1.HTI With d Vesatolimod in HIV-1-positive Patients (AELIX-003)	Safety and Immunogenicity of HIVAX Ini in HIV-1 Infected Subjects (GCHT01)	Safety and Immunogenicity Study of DNA. In HTI, MVA.HTI and ChAdOx1.HTI in HIV- -1-Positive Patients (AELIX-002)	Immune Response to BCG Vaccination in OI Neonates Born to HIV and LTBI Infected and Non-infected Mothers (IMMUNEO)	Combinatorial Therapy to Induce an HIV Remission
	N/A	CYD Dengue Vaccine, Placebo (NaCl 0.9%) vacci- ne group	Recombinant Human Papilloma- virus Nonavalent Vaccine	Chadox1.HTI, MVA.HTI, GS- 9620	HIVAX, saline solution	DNA.HTI 0.5mL, MVA.HTI 0.5mL, DDDMM, ChA- dox1.HTI 0.5mL, MVA.HTI 0.5mL	N/A	p24CE DNA prime (p24CE/IL-12), IL-12 adjuvanted DNA boost (p24CE
(France	Brazil	Sub-Saharan Africa	Spain	US	Spain	Moldova	SU

getina, Brazil, Ily, Mexico, Peru, land, Spain, US	Ad26.Mos4.HIV, Clade C gp140	A Study of Heterologous Vaccine Regimen of Adenovirus Serotype 26 Mosaic4 Hu- man Immunodeficiency Virus(Ad26.Mos4. HIV), Adjuvanted Clade C gp140 and Mosaic gp140 to Prevent HIV-1 Infection Among Cis-gender Men and Transgender Individuals Who Have Sex With Cis-gen- der Men and/or Transgender Individuals	Interventional triple blind	ო	NCT03964415
th Africa	ChAdOx1nCoV 19	(MOSAICO) COVID-19 Vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) Trial in South African Adults With and Without HIV-infection	Interventional oduble blind	1/2	NCT04444674
	Engerix B, Fendrix	A Pilot Study Comparing the Immunogeni- city of Fendrix vs. Double-dose Engerix B in HIV-infected Non-responders to Stan- dard Hepatitis B Vaccination Courses	Interventional	2/3	NCT02434848
ailand	Rabies vaccine	Immune Responses After a Four-site Intra- dermal Rabies Booster Vaccination in HIV- -Infected Adults	Observational	N/A	NCT02547727
ailand	ALVAC-HIVAID- SVAX B/EALVAC- -HIV Placebo, AIDSVAX B/E Placebo	Study of Boosting Strategies After Vaccina- tion With ALVAC-HIV and AIDSVAX® B/E	Interventional double blind	5	NCT01931358

93

Conclusion

HIV vaccine clinical trials are significant to humanity. Epidemiological data make us aware of the great problem we are dealing with. The medical experiment "RV144" shows that it is possible to obtain a vaccine. There is still a lot of work ahead of researchers to get an entirely safe and effective vaccine. Thanks to continuous investment, scientists can conduct further clinical trials in populations most at risk of HIV infection. HIV vaccine research has become an innovative mechanism for vaccine research, as demonstrated by a variety of vaccine vector products used in the study of other pathogens. The invention of the HIV vaccine is no longer a dream but a reality that scientists scrupulously pursue. We must hope that the nearest future in HIV prevention is an effective and safe vaccine.

References

1. WHO, HIV database [Internet]. Available from: http://www.who.int/ hiv/data/en/ [cited 10.06.2020].

2. PZH, HIV epidemiology [Internet]. Available from: http://wwwold.pzh. gov.pl/oldpage/epimeld/hiv_aids/index.htm [cited 10.06.2020].

3. HIV, AIDS [Internet]. Available from: http://www.aids.gov.pl/hiv_aids/450/ [cited 10.06.2020].

4. PEPFAR Program [Internet]. Available from: https://www.pepfar.gov [cited 10.06.2020].

5. Crowell TA, Hatano H. Clinical outcomes and antiretroviral therapy in 'elite' controllers: a review of the literature. J Virus Erad 2015; 1(2): 72–77.

6. Sunshine JE, Larsen BB, Maust B et al. Fitness-balanced escape determines resolution of dynamic founder virus escape processes in HIV-1 infection. J Virol 2015; 89(20): 10303–10318.

7. Bednar MM, Hauser BM, Zhou S et al. Diversity and tropism of HIV-1 rebound virus populations in plasma level after treatment discontinuation. J Infect Dis 2016; 214(3): 403.

8. Barouch DH, Michael NL. Accelerating HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trials. Cell 2014; 159(5): 969–972.

9. Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P, Nitayaphan S et al. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to prevent HIV-1 infection in Thailand. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(23): 2209–2220.

10. Robb ML, Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P et al. Risk behaviour and time as covariates for efficacy of the HIV vaccine regimen ALVAC-HIV (vCP1521) and AIDSVAX B/E: a post-hoc analysis of the Thai phase 3 efficacy trial RV 144. Lancet Infect Dis 2012; 12(7): 531–537.

11. Yates NL, Liao HX, Fong Y et al. Vaccine-induced Env V1-V2 IgG3 correlates with lower HIV-1 infection risk and declines soon after vaccination. Sci Transl Med 2014; 6(228): 228ra239.

12. Rolland M, Edlefsen PT, Larsen BB et al. Increased HIV-1 vaccine efficacy against viruses with genetic signatures in Env V2. Nature 2012; 490(7420): 417–420.

13. Database of Preventative HIV Candidates. International AIDS Vaccine Initiative. New York; 2017 [Internet]. Available from: https://www. iavi.org/trials-database. Accessed 02 NOV 2017 [cited 20.07.2020].

14. ClinicalTrials.gov. U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda. 2016 [Internet]. Available from: https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=vr-c01&Search=Search [cited 20.07.2020].

15. Robb ML, Eller LA, Kibuuka H et al. Prospective study of acute HIV-1 infection in adults in East Africa and Thailand. N Engl J Med 2016; 374(22): 2120–2130

16. Chun TW, Murray D, Justement JS et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies suppress HIV in the persistent viral reservoir. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111(36): 13151–13156.